EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

JAWBONE INNOVATIONS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. PANASONIC ENTERTAINMENT & COMMUNICATIONS CO., LTD., and PANASONIC CONNECT CO., LTD, Defendants.	\$ Case No. 2:23-cv-00081-JRG-RSP (LEAD CASE) \$ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
JAWBONE INNOVATIONS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. HTC CORPORATION, Defendant.	\$ Case No. 2:23-cv-00077-JRG-RSP \$ (MEMBER CASE) \$ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
JAWBONE INNOVATIONS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC., Defendant.	\$ \$ Case No. 2:23-cv-00078-JRG-RSP \$ (MEMBER CASE) \$ \$ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED \$ \$ \$ \$

DISCOVERY ORDER

After a review of the pleaded claims and defenses in this action, in furtherance of the management of the Court's docket under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, and after receiving the input of the parties to this action, it is ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- Initial Disclosures. In lieu of the disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
 26(a)(1), each party shall disclose to every other party the following information:
 - (a) the correct names of the parties to the lawsuit;
 - (b) the name, address, and telephone number of any potential parties;
 - (c) the legal theories and, in general, the factual bases of the disclosing party's claims or defenses (the disclosing party need not marshal all evidence that may be offered at trial);
 - (d) the name, address, and telephone number of persons having knowledge of relevant facts, a brief statement of each identified person's connection with the case, and a brief, fair summary of the substance of the information known by any such person;
 - (e) any indemnity and insuring agreements under which any person or entity carrying on an insurance business may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment;
 - (f) any settlement agreements relevant to the subject matter of this action; and
 - (g) any statement of any party to the litigation.

- **2. Disclosure of Expert Testimony.** A party must disclose to the other parties the identity of any witness it may use at trial to present evidence under Federal Rule of Evidence 702, 703 or 705, and:
 - (a) if the witness is one retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony in the case or one whose duties as the party's employee regularly involve giving expert testimony, provide the disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) and Local Rule CV-26; and
 - (b) for all other such witnesses, provide the disclosure required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(C).
- **3. Additional Disclosures.** Without awaiting a discovery request,² each party will make the following disclosures to every other party:
 - (a) provide the disclosures required by the Patent Rules for the Eastern District of Texas with the following modifications to P.R. 3-1 and P.R. 3-3:
 - i. If a party claiming patent infringement asserts that a claim element is a software limitation, the party need not comply with P.R. 3-1 for those claim elements until 30 days after source code for each Accused Instrumentality is produced by the opposing party. Thereafter, the party claiming patent infringement shall identify, on an element-by-element basis for each asserted claim, what source code of each Accused Instrumentality allegedly satisfies the software limitations of the asserted claim elements.

¹ All expert reports should be written such that the report is organized with discrete paragraph numbers.

² The Court anticipates that this disclosure requirement will obviate the need for requests for production.

- ii. If a party claiming patent infringement exercises the provisions of Paragraph 3(a)(i) of this Discovery Order, the party opposing a claim of patent infringement may serve, not later than 30 days after receipt of a Paragraph 3(a)(i) disclosure, supplemental "Invalidity Contentions" that amend only those claim elements identified as software limitations by the party claiming patent infringement.
- (b) produce or permit the inspection of all documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things in the possession, custody, or control of the party that are relevant to the pleaded claims or defenses involved in this action, except to the extent these disclosures are affected by the time limits set forth in the Patent Rules for the Eastern District of Texas; and
- (c) provide a complete computation of any category of damages claimed by any party to the action, and produce or permit the inspection of documents or other evidentiary material on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered, except that the disclosure of the computation of damages may be deferred until the time for Expert Disclosures if a party will rely on a damages expert.
- **4. Protective Orders.** The Court will enter the parties' Agreed Protective Order.
- **Discovery Limitations.** The discovery in this cause is limited to the disclosures described in Paragraphs 1-3 together with:
 - (a) For purposes of this section (Section 5 Discovery Limitations), Defendants
 Panasonic Entertainment & Communications Co., Ltd. and Panasonic Connect Co.,
 Ltd. shall count as a single defendant ("Panasonic").

- (b) Interrogatories: Jawbone Innovations, LLC ("Jawbone" or "Plaintiff") may serve twenty-five (25) interrogatories on each of Panasonic, HTC Corporation ("HTC"), and LG Electronics Inc. ("LGE") (collectively, "Defendants"). Defendants may each serve twenty-five (25) interrogatories on Plaintiff.
- (c) Requests for Admission: Plaintiff may serve up to forty (40) requests for admission on each of the Defendants. Defendants may each serve up to forty (40) requests for admission on Plaintiff. In addition, the parties will be permitted to serve a reasonable number of requests for admissions as to (a) the authenticity of a particular document or thing, (b) the admissibility of a particular document or thing, and/or (c) whether a document qualifies as a "printed publication" or other prior art as of a certain date under 35 U.S.C. § 102.
- (d) Depositions: Plaintiff may take up to forty-two (42) total hours of deposition testimony for each of Panasonic, HTC, and LGE (inclusive of both 30(b)(1) and 30(b)(6) depositions). Defendants may collectively take up to forty-two (42) total hours of deposition testimony of Plaintiff (inclusive of both 30(b)(1) and 30(b)(6) depositions). Depositions of experts and third parties do not count against these limits. Absent a showing of good cause, each deposition will be limited to no more than seven (7) hours.
- (e) Experts: Testifying experts shall not be subject to discovery on any draft of their report or declarations in this case and such draft reports, declarations, notes, outlines, or any other writings leading up to an issued report or filed declaration in this litigation are exempt from discovery. In addition, all communications to and from a testifying expert, and all materials generated by a testifying expert with

- respect to that person's work in this case, are exempt from discovery unless relied upon by the expert in forming any opinions in this litigation.
- (f) Expert Depositions: Defendants are each limited to five (5) testifying expert witnesses. Plaintiff is limited to five (5) testifying expert witnesses. Plaintiff may depose each of Defendants' experts for up to seven (7) hours per report, even if such report is submitted on behalf of more than one Defendant. Each of the Defendants may depose each of Plaintiff's experts for up to seven (7) hours per report. Absent a showing of good cause, each expert deposition will be limited to no more than seven (7) hours. To the extent that any one technical liability expert from any party submits a report addressing more than four (4) patents, the seven (7) hour limit may be increased by one (1) hour per additionally addressed patent, up to a maximum of eleven (11) hours. Should the deposition be scheduled for more than seven (7) hours, the technical liability expert may elect to have the deposition conducted over more than one (1) day.
- (g) Third Party Discovery: The parties may conduct third party discovery by serving deposition subpoenas and document subpoenas. Plaintiff is limited to forty-two (42) hours of third-party deposition hours for each case. Defendants are each limited to forty-two (42) hours of third-party deposition hours.
- (h) Inventor Depositions: Separate from the limitations of Paragraph 5(g) regarding Third Party Discovery, each Defendant may depose each third-party inventor for up to seven (7) hours. Should an inventor be designated pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6), the parties shall meet and confer to determine whether more than one day of deposition is appropriate.

- (i) Any party may later move to modify these limitations for good cause.
- 6. Privileged Information. There is no duty to disclose privileged documents or information. However, the parties are directed to meet and confer concerning privileged documents or information after the Status Conference. By the deadline set in the Docket Control Order, the parties shall exchange privilege logs identifying the documents or information and the basis for any disputed claim of privilege in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection. Any party may move the Court for an order compelling the production of any documents or information identified on any other party's privilege log. If such a motion is made, the party asserting privilege shall respond to the motion within the time period provided by Local Rule CV-7. The party asserting privilege shall then file with the Court within 30 days of the filing of the motion to compel any proof in the form of declarations or affidavits to support their assertions of privilege, along with the documents over which privilege is asserted for in camera inspection. However, if the party asserting privilege seeks an in camera review by the Court, such party shall first obtain leave from the Court prior to delivery of documents to the Court.
- 7. Signature. The disclosures required by this Order shall be made in writing and signed by the party or counsel and shall constitute a certification that, to the best of the signer's knowledge, information and belief, such disclosure is complete and correct as of the time it is made. If feasible, counsel shall meet to exchange disclosures required by this Order; otherwise, such disclosures shall be served as provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5. The parties shall promptly file a notice with the Court that the disclosures required under this Order have taken place.

8. Duty to Supplement. After disclosure is made pursuant to this Order, each party is under a duty to supplement or correct its disclosures **immediately** if the party obtains information on the basis of which it knows that the information disclosed was either incomplete or incorrect when made, or is no longer complete or true.

9. Discovery Disputes.

- (a) Except in cases involving claims of privilege, any party entitled to receive disclosures ("Requesting Party") may, after the deadline for making disclosures, serve upon a party required to make disclosures ("Responding Party") a written statement, in letter form or otherwise, of any reason why the Requesting Party believes that the Responding Party's disclosures are insufficient. The written statement shall list, by category, the items the Requesting Party contends should be produced. The parties shall promptly meet and confer. If the parties are unable to resolve their dispute, then the Responding Party shall, within 14 days after service of the written statement upon it, serve upon the Requesting Party a written statement, in letter form or otherwise, which identifies (1) the requested items that will be disclosed, if any, and (2) the reasons why any requested items will not be disclosed. The Requesting Party may thereafter file a motion to compel.
- (b) An opposed discovery related motion, or any response thereto, shall not exceed 7 pages. Attachments to a discovery related motion, or a response thereto, shall not exceed 5 pages. No further briefing is allowed absent a request or order from the Court.
- (c) Prior to filing any discovery related motion, the parties must fully comply with the substantive and procedural conference requirements of Local Rule CV-7(h) and (i).

- Within 72 hours of the Court setting any discovery motion for a hearing, each party's lead attorney (*see* Local Rule CV-11(a)) and local counsel shall meet and confer in person or by telephone, without the involvement or participation of other attorneys, in an effort to resolve the dispute without Court intervention.
- (d) Counsel shall promptly notify the Court of the results of that meeting by filing a joint report of no more than two pages. Unless excused by the Court, each party's lead attorney shall attend any discovery motion hearing set by the Court (though the lead attorney is not required to argue the motion).
- (e) Any change to a party's lead attorney designation must be accomplished by motion and order.
- (f) Counsel are directed to contact the chambers of the undersigned for any "hot-line" disputes before contacting the Discovery Hotline provided by Local Rule CV-26(e). If the undersigned is not available, the parties shall proceed in accordance with Local Rule CV-26(e).
- 10. No Excuses. A party is not excused from the requirements of this Discovery Order because it has not fully completed its investigation of the case, or because it challenges the sufficiency of another party's disclosures, or because another party has not made its disclosures. Absent court order to the contrary, a party is not excused from disclosure because there are pending motions to dismiss, to remand or to change venue.
- 11. Filings. Only upon request from chambers shall counsel submit to the court courtesy copies of any filings.
- 12. Proposed Stipulations by the Parties Regarding Discovery. The parties agree that they will serve each other with copies of any subpoena or deposition notice directed to a third-

party. A party receiving documents from a third party will provide copies of those documents to each other party within three (3) business days of receiving those documents. The parties agree to consult with each other before scheduling any third-party deposition and to provide at least three (3) business days' notice of the selected court reporting agency to allow for the coordination of remote depositions, including the logistics of soft copy exhibits.

- (a) The parties agree that, unless good cause is shown, the parties shall not be required to log any privileged documents created on or after February 28, 2023.
- (b) The parties agree to accept service by email to all counsel of record for the party to be served. Where a document is too large to serve by email, the serving party shall send an email notice providing instructions for downloading the document via FTP or similar file transfer service.
- (c) The parties will submit a proposed e-discovery order within thirty (30) days after the Scheduling Conference.
- (d) Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), inadvertent production of materials covered by the attorney-client privilege or work-product protection is not a waiver in this or any other federal or state proceeding. In case of inadvertent production, at the producing party's request, the receiving party shall immediately return or destroy the inadvertently produced materials. The producing party will provide a privilege log in accordance with Paragraph 6.
- 13. Standing Orders. The parties and counsel are charged with notice of and are required to fully comply with each of the Standing Orders of this Court. Such are posted on the Court's website at http://www.txed.uscourts.gov/?q=court-annexed-mediation-plan. The substance

of some such orders may be included expressly within this Discovery Order, while others (including the Court's Standing Order Regarding Protection of Proprietary and/or Confidential Information to Be Presented to the Court During Motion and Trial Practice) are incorporated herein by reference. All such standing orders shall be binding on the parties and counsel, regardless of whether they are expressly included herein or made a part hereof by reference.